

VITA

WILLIAM C. THOMPSON

Department of Criminology, Law & Society
School of Social Ecology
University of California
Irvine, California 92697
(949) 824-6156

EDUCATION

- Ph.D. 1984 Stanford University (Psychology)
Dissertation Topic: Bayesian and intuitive assessment of forensic
science evidence in criminal trials.
J.D. 1982 Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California, Berkeley
B.A. 1976 University of Southern California (Psychology)

EMPLOYMENT

- 1995-present Professor, University of California, Irvine
Department of Criminology, Law & Society,
with joint appointments in:

School of Law (2010-present);
Department of Psychology & Social Behavior (2000-present)
- July 1, 2006-
June 30, 2009 Chair, Department of Criminology, Law & Society,
University of California, Irvine
- 1989-1995 Associate Professor, Program in Social Ecology and Department of
Criminology, Law & Society University of California, Irvine
- 1983-1989 Assistant Professor, Program in Social Ecology,
University of California, Irvine
- 1982-1983 Associate Attorney. Clark Deichler, LLC. Oakland, California.
- 1981 Staff Fellow. President's Commission for the Study of Ethical
Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral
Research, Washington, D.C.

PUBLICATIONS

Articles in law reviews and peer-reviewed scholarly journals

- Thompson, W.C., Vuille, J., Biedermann, A. & Taroni, F. (2013). The role of prior probability in forensic assessments. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 4: 220-223
- Thompson, W.C., Kaasa, S.O., & Peterson, T. (2013). Do jurors give appropriate weight to forensic identification evidence? *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, 10(2):359-97.
- Thompson, W.C. (2012). Discussion paper: Hard cases make bad law: Reactions to *R v. T*. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 11, 347-359.
- Biedermann, A., Taroni, F. & Thompson, W.C. (2011). Using graphical probability analysis (Bayes nets) to evaluate a conditional DNA inclusion. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 10, 89-121.
- Thompson, W.C. (2011). What role should investigative facts play in the evaluation of scientific evidence? *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 43(2-3): 123-134.
- Murphy, E. & Thompson, W.C. (2010). Understanding Potential Errors and Fallacies in Forensic DNA Statistics: An Amicus Brief in McDaniel v. Brown. *Criminal Law Bulletin*, 46(4), 709-757.
- Thompson, W.C. (2009). Painting the target around the matching profile: The Texas sharpshooter fallacy in forensic DNA interpretation. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 8, 257-276.
- Thompson, W.C. (2009). The National Research Council's plan to strengthen forensic science: Does the path forward run through the courts? *Jurimetrics Journal*, 50, 35-51.
- Thompson, W.C. (2008). Beyond bad apples: Analyzing the role of forensic science in wrongful convictions. *Southwestern Law Review*, 37(4), 1027-1050.
- Thompson, W.C. & Dioso-Villa, R. (2008). Turning a blind eye to misleading scientific testimony: Failure of procedural safeguards in a capital case. *Albany Law Journal of Science and Technology*, 18, 151-204.
- Kaasa, S.O., Peterson, T., Morris, E.K., & Thompson, W.C. (2007). Statistical inference and forensic evidence: Evaluating a bullet lead match. *Law & Human Behavior*, 31(5), 433-447.
- Koehler, J.J. & Thompson, W.C. (2006). Mock jurors' reactions to selective presentation of evidence from multiple-opportunity searches. *Law & Human Behavior*, 30, 455-468.
- Quas, J.A., Thompson, W.C., & Clarke-Stewart, C.K.A. (2005) Do jurors "know" what

- isn't so about child witnesses? *Law and Human Behavior*, 29, 425 – 456.
- Thompson, W.C. (2005) Analyzing the relevance and admissibility of bullet-lead evidence: Did the NRC report miss the target? *Jurimetrics Journal*, 46, 65-89.
- Thompson, W.C. How DNA evidence is transforming criminal justice. (May 2004) *Onyx: Journal of the Blackstone Society*, 48-55.
- Saks, M.J., Risinger, M., Rosenthal, R. & Thompson, W.C. (2003). Context effects in forensic science. *Science & Justice*, 43(2), 77-90.
- Thompson, W.C., Taroni, F. & Aitken, C.G.G. (2003). How the probability of a false positive affects the value of DNA evidence. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 48(1), 47-54.
- Risinger, D.M., Saks, M.J., Thompson, W.C. & Rosenthal, R. (2002). The Daubert/Kumho implications of observer effects in forensic science: Hidden problems of expectation and suggestion. *California Law Review*, 90(1), 1-56.
- Thompson, W.C. & Pathak, M.K. (1999). Empirical Study of Hearsay Rules: Bridging the Gap Between Psychology and Law. *Psychology, Public Policy and Law*, 5(2), 456-472 (1999).
- Pathak, M.K. & Thompson, W.C. (1999). From Child to Witness to Jury: Effects of Suggestion on the Transmission of Hearsay. *Psychology, Public Policy and Law*, 5(2), 372-387.
- Thompson, W.C. (1997). Accepting Lower Standards: The National Research Council's Second Report on Forensic DNA Evidence. *Jurimetrics Journal*, 37(4) 405-424.
- Thompson, W.C., Clarke-Stewart, K.A., & Lepore, S.J. (1997). What did the janitor do? Suggestive interviewing and the accuracy of children's accounts, *Law & Human Behavior*, 21(4), 405-426.
- Thompson, W.C. (1997). A Sociological Perspective on the Science of Forensic DNA Testing. *U.C. Davis Law Review*, 30(4), 1113-1136.
- Thompson, W.C. (1996). DNA Evidence in the O.J. Simpson Trial, *Colorado Law Review*, 67 (4), 827-857.
- Thompson, W.C. (1995). Subjective interpretation, laboratory error and the value of DNA evidence: Three case studies, *Genetica*, 96: 153-168.
- Reprinted in B.S. Weir (Ed.) *Human Identification: The Use of DNA Markers*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, 153-168.
- Thompson, W.C. (1993). Evaluating the admissibility of new genetic identification tests: Lessons from the "DNA War". *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*, 84, 22-104.
- Thompson, W.C. (1989). Death qualification after Wainwright v. Witt and Lockhart v. McCree. *Law and Human Behavior*, 13, 185-215.

- Thompson, W.C. (1989). Are juries competent to evaluate statistical evidence? *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 52, 9-41.
- Thompson, W.C. & Ford, S. (1989). DNA typing: Acceptance and weight of the new genetic identification tests. *Virginia Law Review*, 75, 45-108.
- Reprinted in *Criminal Practice Law Review*, 1989, 2, 103-162.
 - Plagiarized in Harvey, B.S. & Berry, J.D., DNA typing: Keeping the state out of your client's genes. *The Champion*, 1989, 13, 6-9.
 - Reprinted in *Daily Journal Report*, October 27, 1989, 18-46.
 - Reprinted in *California Defender*, 1990, 4, 2-25.
- Melton, G.B., Levine, R.J., Koocher, G.P., Rosenthal, R. & Thompson, W.C. (1988). Community consultation in socially sensitive research: Lessons from clinical trials of treatments for AIDS. *American Psychologist*, 43, 573-581.
- Reprinted in Udo Schuklenk (Ed.) *Aids, Society, Ethics and Law*. Aldershot, U.K.: Ashgate Publishing Limited (2001).
- Thompson, W.C. & Schumann, E.L. (1987). Interpretation of statistical evidence in criminal trials: The prosecutor's fallacy and the defense attorney's fallacy. *Law and Human Behavior*, 11, 167-187.
- Cowan, C.L., Thompson, W.C. & Ellsworth, P.E. (1984). The effects of death qualification on juror's predisposition to convict and on the quality of deliberation. *Law and Human Behavior*, 8, 53-79.
- Cited by the U.S. Supreme Court
- Thompson, W.C., Cowan, C.L., Ellsworth, P.E. & Harrington, J. (1984). Death penalty attitudes and conviction proneness: The translation of attitudes into verdicts. *Law and Human Behavior*, 8, 95-113.
- Cited by the U.S. Supreme Court
- Ellsworth, P.E., Cowan, C.L., Bukaty, R. & Thompson, W.C. (1984). The death qualified jury and the defense of insanity. *Law and Human Behavior*, 8, 81-93.
- Cited by the U.S. Supreme Court
- Thompson, W.C., Fong, G. & Rosenhan, D.L. (1981). Inadmissible evidence and juror verdicts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 40, 453-465.
- Abstracted in *Psychology Today*
- Thompson, W.C., Cowan, C.L. & Rosenhan, D.L. (1980). Focus of attention mediates the impact of negative affect on altruism. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 38, 291-302.
- Reyes, R.M., Thompson, W.C. & Bower, G.H. (1980). Judgmental biases resulting from differing availabilities of arguments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 39, 2-12.

Redleaf, D., Schmitt, S. & Thompson, W.C. (1979). The California Natural Death Act: An empirical study of physicians' practices. *Stanford Law Review*, 31, 913-943.

-- Abstracted in *The Hastings Center Report*, 1980 (April) p.51.

Peer-reviewed articles in professional/practitioner journals

Thompson, W.C., Mueller, L.D., & Krane, D.E. (Dec. 2012). Forensic DNA statistics: Still controversial in some cases. *The Champion*, 36, 12-23. (Cover article)

Tobin, W.A. & Thompson, W.C. (July 2006). Evaluating and challenging forensic identification evidence. *The Champion*, 30, 12-21. (Cover article)

--Reprinted in V. Raghuram (Ed.)(2007) *Expert Opinion: Evidentiary Value*. Hyderabad, India: The Icfai University Press. pp. 137-59.

Thompson, W.C. (January 2006). Tarnish on the 'gold standard:' Understanding recent problems in forensic DNA testing. *The Champion*, 30(1), 10-16 (Cover Article).

--Reprinted in *California Defender* (Spring 2012), 71-78.

Thompson, W.C., Ford, S., Doom, T., Raymer, M. & Krane, D. (2003a) Evaluating Forensic DNA Evidence: Essential Elements of a Competent Defense Review: Part 1. *The Champion*, 27(3), 16-25 (Cover Article)

Thompson, W.C., Ford, S., Doom, T., Raymer, M. & Krane, D. (2003b) Evaluating Forensic DNA Evidence: Essential Elements of a Competent Defense Review: Part 2. *The Champion*, 27(4), 24-28.

--Cited by the U.S. Supreme Court

Other journal, magazine, online and proceedings articles

Thompson, W.C. (Nov-Dec. 2008). The potential for error in forensic DNA testing. *GeneWatch*, 21(3-4), 5-8.

Schmechel, R.S., Thompson, W.C. & Ungvarsky, E.J. (August, 2005). Defending with (and against) forensic evidence: A call to share resources. *The Champion*, 29, 39-40.

Nethercott, M & Thompson, W.C. (June 2005). Lessons from Baltimore's GSR debacle. *The Champion*, 29, 50-52.

Thompson, W.C. & Cole, S.A. (March 2005). Lessons from the Brandon Mayfield case. *The Champion*, 29, 32-34.

Thompson, W.C. & Nethercott, M. (Sept-Oct, 2004). The challenge of forensic evidence. *The Champion*, 28, 50-51.

- Thompson, W.C. (2003). Houston has a problem: How bad DNA evidence sent the wrong man to prison. *Cornerstone*, 25(1), 16-17
- Thompson, W.C. People v. Marshall: The Legal Story. *Scientific Testimony: An Online Journal*, www.scientific.org (1998).
- Thompson, W.C. Examiner Bias in Forensic RFLP Analysis. *Scientific Testimony: An Online Journal*, www.scientific.org (1998).
- Thompson, W.C. & Thoma, J.E., (1997-98). Selective Bibliography on Forensic DNA Evidence, 1997-1998. *California Defender*, 7(3&4), 35-44.
- Thompson, W.C. & Thoma, J.E. (1996). Selective bibliography on forensic DNA evidence. *CACJ Forum*, 23(1), 86-95.
- Thompson, W.C. (January 1995). DNA Evidence: The State of the Science, *Bulletin of Law, Science and Technology*, 1-7.
- Thompson, W.C. (August, 1994). DNA Evidence in Criminal Law: New Developments, *Trial*, 30(8), 34-42.
- Expert Opinion: DNA Testing Under Fire (Excerpts of a Debate between William Thompson and George W. ("Woody") Clarke, moderated by Nina Schuyler). *California Lawyer* (October, 1994, 45-48).
- Thompson, W.C. & Pathak, M.K. (1993). Evaluating the trustworthiness of hearsay: Truthful in part, truthful in whole? *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Forensic Statistics*, F, 49-54.
- Thompson, W.C. & Ford, S. (1993). Is the probative value of forensic DNA evidence undermined by subjectivity in determination of matches? *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Forensic Statistics*, A, 47-60.
- Thompson, W.C. & Thoma, J.E. (1993). Selective bibliography on forensic DNA evidence. *CACJ Forum*, 20(4), 71-73.
- Thompson, W.C. (October, 1993) The DNA testing debate: Where do we stand and what have we learned. *Orange County Lawyer*.
- Thompson, W.C. & Ford, S. (April 1992). DNA testing: Debate update. *Trial*, 28, 52-61.
- Ford, S. & Thompson, W.C. (1990). A question of identity: Some reasonable doubts about DNA "fingerprints". *The Sciences*, January/February, 36-43.
 -- Reprinted in *The Forum*, June 1990.
 -- Reprinted in *California Defender*, 1990, 4, 42-48.
- Thompson, W.C. & Ford, S. (1990). Is DNA fingerprinting ready for the courts? *New Scientist*, March 31, 1990, vol 125, 38-43. (Cover story)
 -- Reprinted in *California Defender*, 1990, 4, 36-41.
- Thompson, W.C. & Ford, S. (September 1988). DNA Typing: Promising forensic technique needs additional validation. *Trial*, 56-64.

Chapters in edited volumes

- Cole, S.A. & Thompson, W.C. (2013). Forensic Science and Wrongful Convictions. In, C.R.Huff & M.Killias, *Wrongful Convictions and Miscarriages of Justice: Causes and Remedies in North American and European Criminal Justice Systems*. Routledge, pp. 111-135.
- Thompson, W.C. Forensic DNA Evidence: The Myth of Infallibility. In Sheldon Krimsky & Jeremy Gruber (Eds.), *Genetic Explanations: Sense and Nonsense*. Harvard University Press, 2013, pp. 227-255.
- Thompson W.C., (2009). Interpretation: Observer Effects, in *Wiley Encyclopedia of Forensic Science*, Jamieson, A., Moenssens, A. (eds). John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK, pp 1575-1579.
- Cole, S.A & Thompson, W.C. (2007). Legal issues associated with DNA evidence. In Craig Hemmens (Ed.), *Legal Issues for Criminal Justice*. Los Angeles: Roxbury.
- Thompson, W.C. & Cole, S.A. (2007). Psychological aspects of forensic identification evidence. In M. Costanzo, D. Krauss & K. Pezdek (Eds.) *Expert Psychological Testimony for the Courts*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum & Associates, pp. 31-68.
- Thompson, W.C. & Krane, D.E. (2003). DNA in the courtroom. In J. Moriarty (Ed.) *Psychological and Scientific Evidence in Criminal Trials*. Minneapolis: West Group. (Sections 11:1 - 11:43).
- Saks, M.J. & Thompson, W.C. (2003). Assessing Evidence: Proving Facts. In D. Carson and R. Bull (Eds.) *Handbook of Psychology in Legal Contexts*. John Wiley & Sons, pp. 329-345.
- Thompson, W.C. (2002). DNA Testing. In David Levinson (Ed.) *Encyclopedia of Crime and Punishment*. Thousand Oaks, CA.: Sage.
- Thompson, W.C. & Fuqua, J. (1998). "The Jury Will Disregard...": A Brief Guide to Inadmissible Evidence. In J. Golding & C. MacLeod, *Intentional Forgetting: Interdisciplinary Approaches*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum & Associates.
- Thompson, W.C. (1997). Forensic DNA Evidence, In Bert Black and Patrick Lee (Eds.) *Expert Evidence: A Practitioner's Guide to Law, Science and the FJC Manual*, pp. 196-266. West Publishing.
- Excerpt reprinted in R. Lempert, S. Gross & S. Liebman, *A Modern Approach to Evidence*, West Publishing, 1999, 769-783.
- Thompson, W.C. (1996). Research on human judgment and decision making: Implications for informed consent and institutional review. In B. Stanley, J. Sieber & G. Melton, *Research Ethics: A Psychological Approach*. Lincoln, Neb.: University of Nebraska Press (1996).
- Thompson, W.C. (1994). When science enters the courtroom: The DNA typing

- controversy. In C. Cranor (Ed.) *Are Genes Us? The Social Consequences of the New Genetics*. New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, p. 180-202.
- Thompson, W.C. (1993). Genetics and criminal justice. In R. Steven Brown (Ed.) *Advances in Genetics Information: A Guide for State Policy Makers*. Lexington, Ky.: Council of State Governments.
- Thompson, W.C. (1993). Research on jury decision making: The state of the science. In N.J. Castellan (Ed.) *Current Issues in Individual and Group Decision Making*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Thompson, W.C. & Ford, S. (1991). The meaning of a match: Sources of ambiguity in the interpretation of DNA prints. In J. Farley & J. Harrington (Eds.) *Forensic DNA Technology*. New York: CRC Press, Inc., 1991.
- Thompson, W.C. (1983). Psychological issues in informed consent. In The President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research (Eds.) *Making Health Care Decisions: Vol 3: Appendices: Studies on the Foundations of Informed Consent* (pp 83-115) Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Book reviews

- Thompson, W.C. & Vuille, J. Math on Trial. *California Lawyer* (Nov. 2013).
- Thompson, W.C. (Jan 4, 2013). Rejecting the Evidence (Review of David A. Harris, *Failed Evidence: Why Law Enforcement Resists Science*). *Science*, 339, 34-35.
- Thompson, W.C. (Nov.-Dec. 2010). Lessons from the 'DNA wars' (Review of David H. Kaye, *The Double Helix and the Law of Evidence*). *Judicature*, 94(3) 142-44.
- Dioso, R. & Thompson, W.C. (2006). Review of: DNA and the criminal justice system: The technology of justice. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 51(1), 206-07.
- Thompson, W.C., Peterson, T. & Kaasa, S.O. (2004). Reflections on "Psychology and Law" (Review of Taking Psychology and Law Into the Twenty-First Century, James R.P. Ogloff (Ed.), *PsycCRITIQUES*, 49, Supplement 14, np.

Brief comments and letters in scientific journals

- Thompson, W.C. (2012). Discussion on the paper by Neumann, Evett and Skerrett. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A*, 175, Part 2, 409.
- Thompson, W.C., Ford, S., Gilder, J.R., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I.L., Krane, D., Mnookin, J.L., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Saks, M.J., & Zabell, S. (2011). Commentary on: Thornton—A rejection of 'working blind' as a cure for contextual bias. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 56(2) 562-63.
- Thompson, W.C. (2010). An American Psychology-Law Society Scientific Review Paper on Police Interrogation and Confession. *Law & Human Behavior*, 34(1) 1-2.

- Krane, D.E., Ford, S. Gilder, J.R., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I.L., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Taylor, M.S., & Thompson, W.C. (2010) Commentary on Budowle, et al. A perspective on errors, bias and interpretation in the forensic sciences and directions for continuing advancement. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 55(1) 273-274.
- D. E. Krane, V. Bahn, D. Balding, B. Barlow, H. Cash, B. L. Desportes, P. D'Eustachio, K. Devlin, T. E. Doom, I. Dror, S. Ford, C. Funk, J. Gilder, G. Hampikian, K. Inman, A. Jamieson, P. E. Kent, R. Koppl, I. Kornfield, S. Krimsky, J. Mnookin, L. Mueller, E. Murphy, D. R. Paoletti, D. A. Petrov, M. Raymer, D. M. Risinger, A. Roth, N. Rudin, W. Shields, J. A. Siegel, M. Slatkin, Y. S. Song, T. Speed, C. Spiegelman, P. Sullivan, A. R. Swienton, T. Tarpey, W. C. THOMPSON, E. Ungvarsky, S. Zabell. (2009). Time for DNA Disclosure. *Science*, 326, 1631-32 (Dec. 18, 2009)
- Krane, D.E., Ford, S., Gilder, J., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Taylor, M.S. & Thompson, W.C. (2009). Reply to Ostrum. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 54(6) 1500-1501.
- Gilder, J., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I., Krane, D., Mueller, L. & Thompson, W. Comment on the review of low copy number testing. *International Journal of Legal Medicine* (Published online Sept. 18, 2008; forthcoming in journal)
- Thompson, W.C. (2009). The Prosecutor's Fallacy in George Clarke's "Justice and Science: Trials and Triumphs of DNA Evidence." *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 54(2): 504.
- Krane, D.E., Ford, S., Gilder, J., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Taylor, M.S. & Thompson, W.C (2009). Authors' Response (to commentary on Sequential Unmasking). *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 54(2): 501.
- Krane, D.E., Ford, S., Gilder, J., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Taylor, M.S. & Thompson, W.C. (2008). Sequential unmasking: A means of minimizing observer effects in forensic DNA interpretation. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 53(4):1006-7.
- Krane, D.E., Doom, T.E., Mueller, L., Raymer, M.L., Shields, W.M. & Thompson, W.C. (2004). Commentary on: Budowle, et al. CODIS STR loci data from 41 sample populations. *J Forensic Sci* 2001; 46:453-489 (Letter). *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 49(6).
- Thompson, W.C., Taroni, F. & Aitken, C.G.G. (2004). Authors' response (to letter by Brenner and Inman re: Thompson, Taroni & Aitken, 2003). *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 49(1) 194-95.
- Thompson, W.C., Taroni, F. & Aitken, C.G.G. (2003). Authors' Response (to letters by Cotton & Word, Clarke re: Thompson, Taroni & Aitken, 2003). *Journal of*

Forensic Sciences, 48(5), 1202

--cited by U.S. Supreme Court (Justice Breyer's concurrence in *Williams v. Illinois*, 2012).

Thompson, W.C. (1998). Additional commentary on Budowle et al. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 43(2) 447-448.

Thompson, W.C. (1995). Discussion of the paper by Balding and Donnelly, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 158(A), 49.

Thompson, W.C. (1994). Comment (on K. Roeder, DNA Fingerprinting: A Review of the Controversy). *Statistical Science*, 9, 263-266.

Thompson, W.C. (1993). Worthwhile DNA questions (letter) *Judicature*, 77, 5-6.

Commentary and op/ed articles in newspapers and magazines

Thompson, W.C. & Friedman, J. Statewide oversight of forensic science needed in California. *Los Angeles Daily Journal* (June 22, 2010).

Quintin Chatman, Moderator, Roundtable on the NAS Report (with commentary from Michael Burt, Hon. J.S. Rakoff, Adina Schwartz and William C. Thompson). *The Champion*, 33(7), Aug. 2009, 36-43.

Thompson, W.C. At Issue: Should forensic labs be separated from law enforcement agencies? *CQ Researcher*, July 17, 2009, p. 613 (debate with John M. Collins).

Cole, S.A. & Thompson, W.C. Lawyers should view scientific evidence with critical eye. *Los Angeles Daily Journal*, Sept 20, 2005

Cole, S.A. & Thompson, W.C. FBI needs to make more changes in fingerprint analysis. *San Francisco Daily Journal*, Nov 26, 2004, 4.

Cole, S.A. & Thompson, W.C. DNA initiative is wrong way of expanding state's database. *Los Angeles Daily Journal*, Oct 25, 2004.

Thompson, W.C. Commentary: Keep Your Hands Off My DNA. *Los Angeles Times*, Oct 14, 2004, B-11

Thompson, W.C. HPD Crime Lab Mess: Worse Than Imagined? *Houston Chronicle*, Sunday Outlook, September 28, 2003.

Thompson, W.C. Grand juries alone won't cut through HPD's crime lab tangle. *Houston Chronicle*, Sunday Outlook, June 15, 2003.

Thompson, W.C. Separate the Lab from the FBI. *Orange County Register*, June 1, 1997.

Published legal briefs

Brief of 20 Scholars of Forensic Evidence as *Amici Curiae* Supporting Respondents in *McDaniel v. Brown*, 130 S. Ct. 665 (2010)(with Erin Murphy). Reprinted in *Criminal Law Bulletin*, 46(4) 711-757 (2010).

Motion to Exclude DNA Evidence in *United States v. Cuff*, 37 F.Supp.2d 279 (S.D.N.Y. 1999)(prepared by William C. Thompson). Reprinted in BNA Criminal Practice Guide, January 12, 2000, p. 14-18.

Memorandum in Support of Motion to Exclude DNA Evidence in *People v. O.J. Simpson*, No. BA 97211, Los Angeles County Superior Court (with Barry Scheck and Peter Neufeld). Excerpt reprinted in BNA Criminal Practice Manual, 1994, 8, 583-590.

Defense Opening Brief on the Admissibility of Forensic DNA Evidence in *State of New Mexico v. Jay Anderson*, No. CR 46255, Bernalillo County, N.M. (with Dan Cron and Angela Arellanes). Reprinted in BNA Criminal Practice Manual, 1990, 4, 180-185.

Government Publications

Gillette et al. (2009). An Examination of Forensic Science in California. California Crime Laboratory Review Task Force, California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA.

RECENT PRESENTATIONS

Invited Addresses at International Conferences

Thompson, W.C. Coping with uncertainty. Invited address at the conference *Science Meets Justice: Forensic Statistics at the Interface*. Lorentz Center, University of Leiden, Netherlands. April 26, 2011.

Thompson, W.C. Addressing observer effects: What should forensic scientists know and when should they know it. Workshop for the Forensic Advisory Council of the Forensic Science Regulator. London, U.K. March 1, 2011.

Thompson, W.C. What role should investigative facts play in the evaluation of forensic evidence? Invited plenary address at the meeting of the Australia-New Zealand Forensic Science Society (ANZFSS), Sydney, Australia, September 7, 2010.

Thompson, W.C. Painting the Target Around the Arrow: Misuse of Statistics to Characterize DNA Evidence. 7th International Conference on Forensic Inference and Statistics, Lausanne, Switzerland (Invited keynote). August 2008.

Thompson, W.C. Evaluating Forensic DNA Evidence. Invited address at the Inaugural Conference of the Innocence Project New Zealand. Victoria University, Wellington, New Zealand, December 14, 2007.

Krane, D. & Thompson, W.C. Evaluating Forensic DNA Evidence. Queen's University, Belfast, Northern Ireland. (1-day workshop sponsored by the Criminal Courts Bar Association of Northern Ireland and attended by 80 judges, barristers and solicitors), October 13, 2007.

Thompson, W.C. Using DNA Technology Effectively and Fairly: New Challenges for the Justice System, 10th International Criminal Law Congress (Keynote), Perth, Australia, October 19, 2006.

Thompson, W.C. How CSI Can Go Awry: A Psychologist Looks at Forensic Evidence. Invited address at the Department of Biology, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia. October 2006.

Thompson, W. C. Lessons from Scandal: On Making Science Open and Objective in Adversarial Systems of Justice. Keynote Address at the Australia-New Zealand Forensic Science Society, Wellington, New Zealand, March 2004.

Thompson, W.C. A Psychologist Looks at DNA Evidence. Invited address to the Australian Psychology-Law Society, Perth, Australia, March 2004.

Thompson, W.C. Government Genetic Databanks: Benefits and Risks of Expansion. Invited address at the Conference on Human Rights and the Protection of Innocence, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia, March 2004.

Judicial education and training

Thompson, W.C. Current Issues in Scientific Evidence. Invited address at the Judicial Conference of Virginia (mandatory annual conference attended by all appellate and circuit court judges in the state), Virginia Beach, Va. May 16, 2006.

Thompson, W.C. Understanding and Evaluating DNA Evidence. Invited address at the Judicial Conference of Virginia (mandatory annual conference attended by all appellate and circuit court judges in the state), Virginia Beach, Va. May 16, 2006.

Thompson, W.C., Cotton, R., Inman, K & Taylor, M. Understanding DNA Evidence: What Judges Need to Know. Invited 3-hour presentation and panel discussion at the Criminal Bench Seminar, Los Angeles, CA. October 2004.

Thompson, W.C. and Hoey, B. DNA Evidence (Training Workshop for Missouri Trial Judges). Advanced Judicial Studies Institute, Jefferson City, Mo. April 18-19, 2002.

Thompson, W.C. and Hoey, B. DNA Evidence (Training Workshop for Missouri Trial Judges). Advanced Judicial Studies Institute, Columbia, Mo. May 7-9, 2001.

Continuing legal education and training

Thompson, W.C. Contextual Bias. Invited address at the conference of the National Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys. Washington, D.C. Feb 22, 2013.

Thompson, W.C. Understanding DNA Statistics. Workshop at the Office of the Public Defender, San Francisco, CA. Nov 16, 2012.

Thompson, W.C. & Philpott, K. The NAS Report and 'Scientific Principles.' Invited address at the Forensic Science Training Conference, sponsored by the National Institute of Justice and the Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office, Los Angeles, CA. Sept 17, 2011.

Thompson, W.C. Causes of Wrongful Convictions. Invited address at the *Benjamin Arranda III, Inn of Court*, Redondo Beach, CA. Nov 17, 2010.

Thompson, W.C. Recognizing problems in scientific evidence. Plenary address at the annual meeting of the National Association of Appellate Court Attorneys. Annapolis, MD., July 15, 2009.

Thompson, W.C. Thinking clearly about cold hits. Invited address at the West Coast DNA Trial College, Golden Gate Law School, March 28, 2009.

Faculty Member, DNA Cross Examination College (Advanced training seminar for litigators), Public Defender Service, Washington, D.C., March 23-24, 2007.

Faculty Member, West Coast DNA Trial College, University of San Francisco Law School (2-day workshop), March 17-18, 2007.

Recent invited addresses and presentations (universities and professional societies)

Thompson, W.C. Workshop on Minimizing Contextual Bias. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Southwestern Association of Forensic Document Examiners. Las Vegas, NV. April 25, 2014.

Thompson, W.C. Invited Lecture on Forensic Statistics. Masters Program in Forensic Sciences, California State University, Los Angeles, CA. April 9, 2014.

Thompson, W.C. How jurors understand (and sometimes misunderstand) forensic science evidence. Presented at the meeting of the California Association of Crime Laboratory Directors, Costa Mesa, CA. April 4, 2014.

Thompson, W.C. Communicating forensic science evidence. Presented at the meeting of the Scientific

- Working Group for Forensic and Investigatory Speaker Recognition, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD March 19, 2014.
- Thompson, W.C. Blind to What? Criteria for Task-Relevance. Presentation during the Workshop on Contextual Bias in Forensic Science at the annual meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Seattle, WA Feb. 17, 2014.
- Thompson, W.C. Communicating forensic science evidence. Invited Lecture. Advanced Seminar on Evidence, UCLA School of Law. Feb 6, 2014.
- Thompson, W.C. & Newman, E. Communicating forensic science evidence. Invited presentation at the Orange County Crime Laboratory. Dec 18, 2014.
- Thompson, W.C. & Velsko, S. Communicating scientific findings to lawyers, policy-makers and the public. Invited presentation at the annual meeting of the American Geophysical Union. San Francisco, CA. Dec 10, 2014.
- Thompson, W.C. Modeling task-relevance: What facts should experts ignore? Invited presentation at the Symposium: When Less Information is Better: Blinding as a Solution to Institutional Corruption. The Edmond J. Safra Center, Harvard University. Nov. 1, 2013.
- Thompson, W.C. & Newman, E. How Should Forensic Scientists Explain Their Evidence to Juries: Match Probabilities, Likelihood Ratios, or “Verbal Equivalents”? Invited presentation at the Workshop on Forensic Evidence, Program on Understanding Law, Science and Evidence (PULSE), School of Law, UCLA. June 6, 2013.
- Thompson, W.C. Reducing error and bias in forensic science. Presentation to the Forensic Evidence Group, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Oct 17, 2012.
- Thompson, W.C. The Continuing Battle Over Forensic DNA Statistics, Workshop on Forensic Evidence, Program on Understanding Law, Science and Evidence, School of Law, UCLA. June 1, 2012.
- Thompson, W.C. Civil Liberties, Privacy and Government DNA Databases. Invited presentation at the conference on The Constitution in the Age of Technology, UCLA Department of Political Science, May 30, 2012.
- Thompson, W.C. Legal Standards for the Admissibility of Expert Testimony: Implication of the 2009 NRC Report on Forensic Sciences. Invited address at the annual meeting of the Acoustical Society of America, San Diego, Ca. Nov 3, 2011.
- Thompson, W.C. Blind to What? What should forensic scientists know, and when should they know it? Invited presentation at the Cognitive Bias and Forensic Science Workshop, Northwestern University School of Law, Sept 21, 2010.
- Thompson, W.C. Observer effects in forensic science. Psychology-Law Colloquium at the University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. September 1, 2010.
- Thompson, W.C. How CSI went awry, and how to fix it. Invited address in the “Distinctive Voices” Series at the Beckman Center of the National Academies of Science, Irvine, California. September 2, 2009.
- Thompson, W.C. Should forensic scientists be allowed to testify about source probabilities? Invited presentation at the conference *Forensic Science for the 21st Century: The National Academy of Sciences Report and Beyond*, Arizona State University Law School, Tempe, Arizona, April 4, 2009.
- Thompson, W.C. Strengthening forensic science: Does the ‘path forward’ run through the courts? Invited presentation at the conference *Forensic Science for the 21st Century: The National Academy of Sciences Report and Beyond*, Arizona State University Law School, Tempe, Arizona, April 3, 2009.
- Invited Panelist, American Civil Liberties Union Panel on Technology and the Future, Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, D.C. October 25, 2006.
- Thompson, W.C. DNA Testing Problems in Texas and Virginia. Invited address at the meeting of the California Association of Criminalists, Los Angeles, California, October 2005.
- Thompson, W.C. DNA Testing Problems in Virginia. Invited presentation at 4th Annual Forensic Bioinformatics Conference, University of Dayton Law School, Dayton, Ohio, August 2005
- Thompson, W.C. Lab Scandals: Lessons for Reform. Invited presentation at the DePaul University Law School Conference on Science and Law, Chicago, Ill. May 2005.

Refereed conference papers

- Thompson, W.C. Attacking non-Bayesian reasoning. Presentation at the 52nd Edwards Bayesian Research Conference. California State University, Fullerton, Ca. Feb 15, 2014.

- Thompson, W.C. Jurors' Reactions to Forensic Evidence. Presentation at the 51st Edwards Bayesian Research Conference. California State University, Fullerton, Ca. Feb 15, 2013.
- Thompson, W.C., Fowler, N.B., Dioso-Villa, R. & Velazquez, B. When do jurors treat absence of evidence as evidence of absence? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, San Antonio, Texas March 7, 2009.
- Thompson, W.C., Kaasa, S. & Peterson, T. The false positive fallacy in jurors' evaluations of forensic evidence. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, San Francisco, CA. August 2007.
- DesPortes, B.L. & Thompson, W.C. Recent problems in forensic DNA testing. Presented at the annual meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, San Antonio, Texas, Feb. 2007.
- Thompson, Dioso, R., Velasquez, B., Peterson, T. & Thompson, W.C. Misuse of DNA Evidence: A Case Study. Presented at Faces of Wrongful Conviction, a conference at UCLA Law School, April 2006.
- Kaasa, S.O., Morris, E.K., Peterson, T. & Thompson, W.C. Evaluation of Bullet Lead Evidence: Are Mock Jurors as Smart as They Think They Are? Presented at the National Academy of Sciences' Arthur M. Sackler Colloquium on Forensic Science, Washington, D.C. November 2005.
- Thompson, W.C. The Houston Police Crime Lab Debacle: Exploring a Systemic Justice System Failure. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Law & Society Association, Las Vegas, Nev. June 2005.
- Kaasa, S.O., Morris, E.K., Peterson, T. & Thompson, W.C. Mock jurors' evaluations of bullet lead evidence. Presented at the annual meeting of the Western Psychological Association, Portland, Oregon, April 2005.
- Thompson, W.C. Problematic DNA Evidence. Presented at the Sixth International Conference on Forensic Statistics. Tempe, Arizona, March 2005.
- Thompson, W.C. Assessing the Legal Relevance of Bullet Lead Evidence: Did the NRC Misfire? Presented at the Sixth International Conference on Forensic Statistics. Tempe, Arizona, March 2005.
- Thompson, W.C., Kromer, M. & Kaplan, P. Jurors' Evaluation of Contested DNA Evidence: A Case Study. Presented at the National Conference on Science and Law (National Institute of Justice), Miami Florida, October 2002.
- Thompson, W.C. What Wrongful Convictions Teach Us About Forensic Science. Presented at the bi-annual meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Austin Texas, March 2002.
- Thompson, W.C. Recent Defense Challenges to Forensic DNA Evidence. Presented at the National Conference on Science and Law (National Institute of Justice), Miami Florida, October 2001.
- Thompson, W.C. Confirmation Bias in the Interpretation of Forensic DNA Evidence. Presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, San Francisco, California, August 2001.

RESEARCH GRANTS

- 2014 Developing effective methods for addressing contextual bias in forensic science. Proposal submitted to NIJ (in collaboration with Dr. Michael Taylor, Institute for Environmental Science and Research, New Zealand), April 21, 2014
- 2014 How presentation format affects lay understanding of forensic science evidence. Proposal submitted to NSF. Jan 15, 2014
- 2012-2014 Evidence, inference and bias in WMD forensics. University of California Lab Fees Research Program. Principal Investigator. (\$1,320,125).
- 2006-2009 Jurors' Evaluations of Forensic Science. National Science Foundation. Principal Investigator (\$125,000).
- 2006-2007 Assessing the Feasibility of Building a Database of Trial Transcripts Containing Scientific Testimony. The Project on Scientific Knowledge and Public Policy (SKAPP). Co-PI. (\$25,000).

- 2002-2003 Feasibility of a National Support Center on Scientific Evidence for Lawyers. Open Society Institute. (\$5000).
- 2002-2003 Evaluating the Feasibility of a National Support Center on Scientific Evidence for Criminal Lawyers. Newkirk Center for Science & Society. (\$16,000).
- 1999-2000 Developing Legal Research Skills Through Web-Based Tutorials. U.C. Irvine Division of Undergraduate Education. (\$5000).
- 1986-1990 Mathematical Evidence in Criminal Trials. National Science Foundation. Principal Investigator. (\$135,000).
- 1985-1986 Child Witnesses: A Research Proposal. U.C. Irvine Academic Senate Committee on Research -- Faculty Research Grant. Co-Principal Investigator (with Alison Clarke-Stewart). (\$4956).
- 1984-1985 Mathematical Evidence in Criminal Trials: Improving the Probability of Justice. U.C. Irvine Academic Senate Committee on Research -- Faculty Research Grant -- Principal Investigator. (\$15,000).

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE AS AN ATTORNEY

- 2009 Drafted and filed an *Amici Curiae* brief with the United States Supreme Court (with Erin Murphy) on behalf of 20 scholars of forensic evidence in *McDaniel v. Brown*, 130 S.Ct. 665 (2010).
- 2001 Co-Counsel for defendant Cory Robinson, charged with murder with special circumstances in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Pasadena Branch. Responsible for cross-examination of prosecution DNA expert and direct examination of defense DNA expert. Trial resulted in hung jury, December 19, 2001.
- 1998-99 Court-Appointed Special Counsel for defendant John Cuff, in *U.S. v. Heatly, et al.* 37 F.Supp.2d 279 (S.D.N.Y. 1999). Prepared motions in a case raising novel statistical issues.
- 1995-96 Co-counsel for defendant in *People v. Marshall* (Superior Court of Los Angeles County, No. BA 069796)(Rape charges against defendant Sammy Marshall were dismissed after defense investigation uncovered error in lab's interpretation of autoradiograms).
- 1994-95 Co-counsel for O.J. Simpson in his criminal trial in Los Angeles. Responsible for law and motion work related to expert testimony on DNA evidence, including hearings on admissibility of mixture statistics, likelihood ratios and character attacks on experts. Second chair (to Barry Scheck or Peter Neufeld) during cross-examination of prosecution DNA experts and direct examination of defense DNA experts.
- 1989-94 Co-counsel for defendant in first New Mexico case involving forensic DNA evidence, *State v. Anderson*, 853 P.2d 135 (N.M.App. 1993)(FBI's statistical methods fail to meet Frye standard), rev'd under a different standard (Daubert), N.M. Sup.Ct. No. 21,069, Aug. 25, 1994. Served as lead counsel

during 11-day pre-trial evidentiary hearing, prepared appellate briefs for New Mexico Court of Appeals and Supreme Court, argued case before New Mexico Supreme Court.

- 1991 Filed *Amicus* (Letter) Brief with California Supreme Court, arguing against review or depublication of *People v. Barney*, 8 Cal.App 4th 798 (1992).
- 1990 Co-counsel for defendant during pre-trial hearing on admissibility of forensic DNA evidence in *People v. Halik* (Superior Court of Los Angeles County, No. VA 000843, 1991), first case in California in which a defendant successfully challenged admissibility of RFLP analysis.

COURTROOM TESTIMONY AS AN EXPERT WITNESS

I have qualified as an expert and presented testimony on the following topics:

Social science methodology. Superior Court, San Diego County, California (Evidentiary hearing on a motion for severance of co-defendants in a capital trial), 1987; U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, 2000 (Testimony regarding statistical analyses in toxic waste case).

Jury selection procedures and social science research. District Court, Bernalillo County, Albuquerque, New Mexico, (Evidentiary hearing on a motion challenging jury selection procedures in a capital trial), 1988.

Survey research on scientific opinions. State court proceedings in Indiana, Texas, Minnesota, Arizona, Washington, Delaware and California, (Evidentiary hearings on admissibility of DNA tests under the Frye standard). 1988-89.

Forensic DNA testing, statistical interpretation of DNA test results. Federal District Court, Waco Texas (Hearing on inmate David Hicks' motion to reanalyze DNA evidence in a capital case), 1998. Los Angeles County Superior Court (testimony before jury in *People v. Dixon*), 1998. Coronial Court, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia (expert testimony concerning potential for laboratory errors and coincidental match probabilities in *Coroner's Inquest into Death of Jaidyn Leskie*), February 2004. Federal District Court, Amarillo, Texas (federal habeas hearing in *Skinner v. Watkins* on ineffective assistance of counsel), Nov. 2005.

Scientific misconduct in crime laboratories. Presented invited testimony to two grand juries in Harris County (Houston) Texas concerning my observations and analysis of DNA testing errors in the Houston Police Department Crime Laboratory. June 2003.

LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY

California Commission on the Fair Administration of Justice

--Invited testimony concerning role of forensic science in wrongful convictions (January 10, 2007)

California State Senate Committee on Public Safety

--Invited testimony concerning proposed legislation expanding DNA data banks (October, 1997).

California State Senate Select Committee on Genetics and Public Policy,

--Invited testimony concerning the status of forensic DNA evidence in criminal trials

(May 1996).

California Judicial Council.

--Invited testimony concerning proposed legislation limiting attorney voir dire in criminal cases (November 1987).

ADVISORY BOARDS AND PANELS

Scientific Working Group for Forensic and Investigatory Speaker Recognition (SWG-Speaker). Advisory member of group sponsored jointly by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). 2013-14.

California Crime Laboratory Review Task Force. Appointed by California Assembly Speaker Fabian Nunez. 2007-10.

American Bar Association Task Force on Biological Evidence. Member of Task Force that drafted the standards on DNA evidence that were adopted by the ABA in 2007. 2003-2006.

American Bar Association Standards Committee Study Group on DNA Evidence, Reporter for this group that examined evidentiary and procedural issues surrounding DNA evidence and the need for standards. 2000-2001.

National Forensic DNA Review Panel. Appointed as representative of the American Bar Association to this Panel charged with making recommendations to Congress regarding proficiency testing of forensic DNA laboratories. 1997-2001

Advisory Board Member, Center for Justice Studies, University of Nevada, Reno, 1993 - 2003.