

Selected Forensic Statistic References**January 1, 2021**

- Schneider, P.M., Gill, P., Carracedo, A., Editorial on the recommendations of the DNA commission of the ISFG on the interpretation of mixtures, *Forensic Science International* 160, (2006) 89.
- Gill, P. et al. DNA commission of the International Society of Forensic Genetics: Recommendations on the interpretation of mixtures, *Forensic Science International* 160 (2006) 90-101.
- Gilder, J. et al. Run-Specific Limits of Detection and Quantitation for STR-based DNA Testing, *J. Forensic Sci.* 52(1), (2007)
- Buckleton, J.S., Curran, J.M., Gill, P., Towards understanding the effect of uncertainty in the number of contributors to DNA stains, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 1 (2007) 20–28.
- Gill, P., Kirkham, A., Curran, J., LoComatioN: A software tool for the analysis of low copy number DNA profiles, *Forensic Science International* 166 (2007) 128–13.
- Balding, D.J., Buckleton J., Interpreting low template DNA profiles, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 4 (2009) 1–10.
- Tvedebrink, T., Eriksen, P.S., Mogensen, H.S., Morling, N., Estimating the probability of allelic drop-out of STR alleles in forensic genetics. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 3 (2009) 222–226.
- Perlin, M.W., Sineelnikov, A., An Information Gap in DNA Evidence Interpretation, *PLOS one*, (2009), 4(12)
- Gill, P., Buckleton, J., A universal strategy to interpret DNA profiles that does not require a definition of low-copy-number, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 4 (2010) 221–227.
- Haned, H., Forensim: An open-source initiative for the evaluation of statistical methods in forensic genetics, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 5 (2011) 265–268.
- Perlin, M.W., et al., Validating TrueAllele DNA Mixture Interpretation, *J Forensic Sci*, (2011), Vol. 56, No. 6
- Haned, H., Egeland, T., Pontier, D., Pene, L., Gill, P., Estimating drop-out probabilities in forensic DNA samples: A simulation approach to evaluate different model, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 5 (2011) 525–531.
- Tvedebrink, T., Eriksen, P.S., Mogensen, H.S., Morling, N., Statistical model for degraded DNA samples and adjusted probabilities for allelic drop-out, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 97–101.
- Carracedo, A., Schneider, P.M., Butler, J., Prinz, M., Focus issue—Analysis and biostatistical interpretation of complex and low template DNA samples, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 677–678.
- Gill et al., DNA commission of the International Society of Forensic Genetics: Recommendations on the evaluation of STR typing results that may include drop-out and/or drop-in using probabilistic methods, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 679–688.
- Benschop, C.C.G., Assessment of mock cases involving complex low template DNA mixtures: A descriptive study, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 697–707.
- Biedermann, A., Bozza, S., Konis, K., Taroni, F., Inference about the number of contributors to a DNA mixture: Comparative analyses of a Bayesian network approach and the maximum allele count method, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 689–696.

- Haned, H., Slooten, K., Gill, P., Exploratory data analysis for the interpretation of low template DNA mixtures, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 762–774.
- Bright, J-A., McManus, K., Harbison, S., Gill, P., Buckleton, J., A comparison of stochastic variation in mixed and unmixed casework and synthetic samples., *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 180–184.
- Kelly, H., Bright, J-A., Curran, J., Buckleton, J., The interpretation of low level DNA mixtures, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 191–197.
- Mitchell, A. et al., Validation of a DNA mixture statistics tool incorporating allelic drop-out and drop-in, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 749–76.
- Pfeifer, C.M., et al., Comparison of different interpretation strategies for low template DNA mixture, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 716–722
- Rakay, C.A., Bregu, J., Gricak, C.M., Maximizing allele detection: Effects of analytical threshold and DNA levels on rates of allele and locus drop-out, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 723–728
- Tvedebrink, T., et al., Allelic drop-out probabilities estimated by logistic regression—Further considerations and practical implementation, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 263–267
- Lohmueller, K.E., Rudin, N., Calculating the Weight of Evidence in Low-Template Forensic DNA Casework, *J Forensic Sci*, 2012
- Westen, A.A., et al., Assessment of the stochastic threshold, back- and forward stutter filters and low template techniques for NGM, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 6 (2012) 708-715
- Bright, J-A, Taylor, D., Curran, J.M., Buckleton, J.S., Developing allelic and stutter peak height models for a continuous method of DNA interpretation, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 7 (2013) 296-304
- Taylor, D., Bright, J-A., Buckleton, J., The interpretation of single source and mixed DNA profiles, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 7 (2013) 516-528
- Gill, P., Haned, H., A new methodological framework to interpret complex DNA profiles using likelihood ratios, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 7 (2013) 251-263
- Balding, D.J., Evaluation of mixed-source, low-template DNA profiles in forensic science, *PNAS* early edition (2013)
- Balding, D., likeLTD (likelihoods for low-template DNA profiles)
<https://sites.google.com/site/baldingstatisticalgenetics/software/likeltd-r-forensic-dna-r-code>
- Curran, J.M., Buckleton, J., Uncertainty in the number of contributors for the European Standard Set of loci, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 11 (2014) 205-20
- Lohmueller, K.E., Rudin, N., Inman, K., Analysis of allelic drop-out using the Identifiler and PowerPlex 16 forensic STR typing systems, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 12 (2014) 1-11
- Bright, J-A, Curran, J.M, Buckleton, J.S.; The effect of the uncertainty in the number of contributors to mixed DNA profiles on profile interpretation, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 12 (2014) 208-214.
- Kelly, H., Bright, J-A, Buckleton, J.S., Curran, J.M., A comparison of statistical models for the analysis of complex forensic DNA profiles, *Science and Justice* 54 (2014) 66-70
- Steele, C.D., and Balding, D.J., Statistical Evaluation of Forensic DNA Profile Evidence in *Annu. Rev Stat. Appl.* 2014. 1:361-84
- Steele, C.D., et al., Verifying likelihoods for low template DNA profiles using multiple replicates, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 13 (2014) 82-89



Timken, M.D., Klein, S.B., Buoncristiani, M.R., Stochastic sampling effects in STR typing; Implications for analysis and interpretation, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 11 (2014) 195-204

Hedell, R., et al., Enhanced low-template DNA analysis conditions and investigation of allele dropout patterns, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 14 (2015) 61-75

Haned, H., et al., Complex DNA mixture analysis in a forensic context: Evaluating the probative value using a likelihood ratio model, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 16 (2015) 17-25

Bright et al.; A series of recommended tests when validating probabilistic DNA profile interpretation software, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 16 (2015) 13-16

Swaminathan, H., et al., A computational method to infer number of contributors to DNA samples analyzed by STR genotyping, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 16 (2015) 172-180

Benschop, C.G. et al., The effect of varying the number of contributors on likelihood ratios for complex DNA mixtures, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 19 (2015) 92-99

Coble, M.D., Bright, J-A, Buckleton, J.S, Curran, J.M. Uncertainty in the number of contributors in the proposed new CODIS set, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 19 (2015) 207-211

Inman, K., Rudin, N., Cheng, K., Robinson, C., Kirschner, A., Inman-Semeran, L., and Lohmueller, K.E., Lab Retriever: a software tool for calculating likelihood ratios incorporating a probability of drop-out for forensic DNA profiles, *BMC Bioinformatics* 2015, 16:298 <<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/16/298>>

Haned, H., Gill, P., Lohmueller, K., Inman, K., Rudin, N.; Validation of probabilistic genotyping software for use in forensic DNA casework: Definitions and illustrations, *Science and Justice* 56 (2016) 104–108

Marsden, C.D., Rudin, N., Inman, K., Lohmueller, K.E.; An assessment of the information content of likelihood ratios derived from complex mixtures. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 22 (2016) 64–72

Taylor, D., Curran, J.M., Buckleton, J.; Importance sampling allows Hd true tests of highly discriminating DNA profiles, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 27 (2017) 74-81

Gittelson, S., Moretti, T.R., Onorato, A.J., Budowle, B., Weir, B.S., Buckleton, J.; The factor of 10 in forensic DNA match probabilities, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 28 (2017) 178-187

Moretti et al., Internal validation of STRmix TM for the interpretation of single source and mixed profiles, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 29 (2017) 136-144

Moretti, T.R., Just, R.S., Heho, S.C., Willis, L.E., Buckleton, J.S., Bright, J-A., Taylor, D.A., Onorato, A.J.; Internal validation of STRmix for the interpretation of single source and mixed DNA profiles, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 29 (2017) 126-144

Bright, J-A., Taylor, D., Gittelson, S., Buckleton, J.; The paradigm shift in forensic DNA interpretation, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 31(2017) e24-e32

Buckleton, J.S., Bright, J-A., Gittelson, S., Moretti, T.R., Onorato, A.J., Bieber, F.R., Budowle, B., Taylor, D.A.; The probabilistic genotyping software STRmix: Utility and Evidence for its validity, *J. Forensic Sci.* (2018) Aug 21

Norsworthy, S., Lun, D.S., Grgicak, C.M., Determining the number of contributors to DNA mixtures in the low-template regime: Exploring the impacts of sampling and detection effects, *Legal Medicine* 32 (2018) 1-8

Bright, J-A., et al.; Internal validation of STRmix – A multi laboratory response to PCAST, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 34 (2018) 11-24

Kelly, H., Bright, J-A., Kruijver, M., Cooper, S., Taylor, D., Duke, K., Strong, M., Beamer, V., Buettner, C., Buckleton, J., A sensitivity analysis to determine the robustness of STRmix with respect to laboratory calibration, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 35 (2018) 113-122

Marciano, M.A., Williamson, V.R., Adelman, J.D., A hybrid approach to increase the informedness of CE-based data using locus-specific thresholding and machine learning, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 35 (2018) 26-37

Gill, P. et al; DNA commission of the ISFG: Assessing the value of forensic biological evidence – Guidelines highlighting the importance of propositions; Part I: evaluation of DNA profiling comparisons given (sub-) source propositions, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 36 (2018) 189-202

Butler, J.M., Kline, M.C., Coble, M.D.; NIST interlaboratory studies involving DNA mixtures (MIX05 and MIX13): Variation observed and lessons learned, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 37 (2018) 81-94

Buckleton, J.S., Bright, J-A., Cheng, K., Budowle, B., Coble, M.D.; NIST interlaboratory studies involving DNA mixtures (MIX13): A modern analysis; *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 37 (2018) 172-179

Alladio, E., Omedei, M., Cisana, S., D'Amico, G., Caneparo, D., Vincenti, M., Garafano, P.; DNA mixtures interpretation – A proof-of-concept multi-software comparison highlighting different probabilistic methods' performances on challenging sample. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 37 (2018) 143-150

Lynch, P.C., Cotton, R.W., Determination of the possible number of genotypes which can contribute to T DNA mixtures: Non-computer assisted deconvolution should not be attempted for greater than two person mixtures, *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 37 (2018) 235-240

Forensic Science Regulator, DNA mixture interpretation software validation, (2018)
[<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/software-validation-for-dna-mixture-interpretation-fsr-g-223>]

Benschop, C. C.G., Nijveld, A., Duijs, F.E., Sijen, T. An assessment of the performance of the probabilistic genotyping software EuroForMix: Trends in likelihood ratios and analysis of Type I and II errors. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 42 (2019) 31-38

Benschop, C. C.G. et al., DNAXs/DNAStatix: Development and validation of a software suite for the data management and probabilistic interpretation of DNA profiles. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 42 (2019) 81-89

Bright, J-A., et al., STRmix™ collaborative exercise on DNA mixture interpretation. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 40 (2019) 1-8

Buckleton, J.S., Lomueller, K.E., Inman, K., Cheng, K., Curran, J.M., Pugh, S.N., Bright, J-A., Taylor, D.A. Testing whether stutter and low-level DNA peaks are additive. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 43 (2019) 1-5

You, Y., Balding, D., A comparison of software for the evaluation of complex DNA profiles. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 40 (2019) 114-119

American Statistical Association., Position on Statistical Statements for Forensic Evidence, (2019)
<https://www.amstat.org/asa/files/pdfs/POL-ForensicScience.pdf>.

Russell et al., A guide to results and diagnostics within a STRmix report, WIREs *Forensic Sci.* (2019)
<https://doi.org/10.1002/wfs2.1354>

Lin, M-H., Bright, J-A, Pugh, S.N., Buckleton, J.S., The interpretation of mixed DNA profiles from a mother, father, and child trio. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 44 (2020)